International Congress Series 1288 (2006) 522-525





Application of mini-STR loci to severely degraded casework samples

Pablo Martín ^{a,*}, Oscar García ^b, Cristina Albarrán ^a, Pilar García ^a, Antonio Alonso ^a

^a Instituto Nacional de Toxicología y Ciencias Forenses, Servicio de Biología, Madrid, Spain ^b Area de Laboratorio Ertzaintza, Sección de Genética Forense, Erandio, Bizkaia, Basque Country, Spain

Abstract. Four PCR-multiplexes of mini-STR loci have been used to get a nuclear DNA profile from different severely degraded casework biological specimens that generated negative PCR results or partial profiles when commercial STR kits were employed. Mini-STR technology allowed to retrieve additional genetic information with very high efficiency especially for those STR loci with allele sizes less than 150 bp. Our data indicate that the mini-STR technology is an effective strategy to improve DNA profiling from severely degraded casework human DNA samples that are refractory to amplification of DNA fragments bigger than 200–300 bp. © 2005 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

Keywords: PCR; STR; Mini-STR; Degraded DNA

1. Introduction

The most common type of DNA damage of forensic and ancient human remains is its degradation to small size fragments as a consequence of both endogenous and exogenous nuclease activity and non-enzymatic hydrolytic cleavage generating strand breaks. Here we evaluate the effectiveness of mini-STR multiplexes recently developed by NIST [1–4] to perform DNA profiling from severely degraded casework samples.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Markers analyzed

Four PCR-multiplexes of mini-STR loci [1,2]: (Big Mini multiplex, Miniplex 5, Miniplex NC01 and Miniplex NC02) (see Table 1) have been used to get a nuclear DNA

^{*} Corresponding author. Tel.: +34 91 5629190; fax: +34 91 5636924. *E-mail address:* p.martin@mju.es (P. Martín).

 $^{0531\}text{-}5131/$ \otimes 2005 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.ics.2005.10.044

profile from different severely degraded casework biological specimens that generated negative PCR results or partial profiles with commercial STR kits (Identifiler and PowerPlex 16).

2.2. Samples analyzed

The following forensic samples were extracted, quantified by real-time PCR [5] and amplified for all PCR-multiplexes of mini-STR loci: 2 soft tissue fragments fixed and stored in formalin for 3 years, 3 exhumed remains (two teeth and one compact bone) from a formalin-embalmed cadaver, 7 formalin fixed and paraffin embedded biopsies and 16 old bone remains from mass graves of the Spanish Civil war (1936–1939).

2.3. PCR amplification

Amplification of all multiplexes were performed according with original protocols described by Butler et al. [1] and by Coble and Butler [2], except annealing temperature for the Miniplex 5 and NC01 that were increased to $61 \,^{\circ}$ C.

2.4. Analysis on ABI 310

Residual dye molecules were removed by washing products of amplification with TE $1 \times$ using Centricon 100. The amplification products were separated electrophoretically using an ABI Prism 310 Genetic Analyzer (Applied Biosystems) with filter set F and four dyes 6-FAM, VIC, NED and ROX, with appropriate matrix.

3. Results and discussion

In all cases, mini-STR technology allowed to retrieve additional genetic information with very high efficiency especially for those STR loci with allele sizes less than 150 bp. However, in some

STR locus Codis Miniplex 5 Miniplex NC01 Miniplex NC02 Big Mini Allele range Size (bp) **TH01** 3 - 1451 - 98Yes 6-FAM (B) 12.2-51.2 FGA Yes 6-FAM (B) 125-281 CSF1PO Yes VIC (G) 6-16 89-129 D21S11 VIC (G) 24 - 38.2153-211 Yes NED (Y) TPOX Yes 5 - 1465-101 D7S820 Yes NED (Y) 5 - 15136-176 Penta D No 6-FAM (B) 2.2 - 1794-167 Penta E No VIC (G) 5 - 2480-175 D2S1338 No NED (Y) 15 - 2890-142 D10S1248 No 6-FAM (B) 10 - 2083-123 D14S1434 No VIC (G) 13-20 70-98 D22S1045 No NED (Y) 5 - 1676-109 D1S1677 No NED (Y) 9-18 81-117 D2S441 No VIC (G) 9 - 1778-110 D4S2364 No 6-FAM (B) 8 - 1267-83

 Table 1

 Information on 15 STRs employed in this study

All markers are tetra-nucleotides, except the trinucleotide D22S1045 marker. B: blue, G: green, and Y: yellow.



Fig. 1. Identifiler, Big Mini, Miniplex 5, miniplex NC01 and miniplex NC02 results for a nose fragment fixed and stored for 3 years in formalin. Peak labels are allele calls and peak heights in relative fluorescence units. No amplification products were obtained for D21S11 and D7S820 loci with the Big Mini multiplex.

cases, due to the high degradation degree, an extremely peak imbalance was observed between the smaller (60–100 bp) and bigger-sized (120–170 bp) STR markers or even between the smaller and the bigger-sized alleles of the same STR in heterozygote samples (see Fig. 1). Therefore, in some cases singleplex-PCR amplifications were carried out using different amounts of DNA template and different PCR cycles (28–32 cycles) to improve the quality of STR profiles. On the other hand, different artefactual peaks were observed that were removed by filtration of PCR reactions with Centricon centrifugal devices.

In conclusion, our data indicate that the mini-STR multiplexes offer an effective tool for recovering information in degraded forensic samples that generated negative results or partial profiles with commercial STR kits.

References

- J. Butler, Y. Shen, B. McCord, The development of reduced size STR amplicons as tools for analysis of degraded DNA, J. Forensic Sci. 48 (5) (2003) 1054–1064.
- [2] M. Coble, J. Butler, Characterization of new miniSTR loci to aid analysis of degraded DNA, J. Forensic Sci. 50 (1) (2005) 43–53.
- [3] D. Chung, et al., A study on the effects of degradation and template concentration on the amplification efficiency of the STR miniplex primer sets, J. Forensic Sci. 49 (4) (2004) 733-740.

- [4] J. Drábek, et al., Concordance study between miniplex assays and a commercial STR typing kit, J. Forensic Sci. 49 (4) (2004) 859–860.
- [5] A. Alonso, et al., Real-time PCR designs to estimate nuclear and mitochondrial DNA copy number in forensic and ancient DNA studies, Forensic Sci. Int. 139 (2004) 141–149.